

SANTA BARBARA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MINUTES OF MEETING

May 3, 2001

Board of Supervisors Hearing Room
Santa Barbara CA

1. Chair Tim Campbell called the meeting to order at 2:08 p.m. Present were Commissioners Campbell, DeWees, Fox, Marshall, Orach, Umenhofer, Alternate Commissioners Leich, Rogers and Rose, Executive Officer Bob Braitman and Legal Counsel Alan Seltzer.

2. The April 26, 2001 minutes were approved as amended.

3. Public Comment Period

Interested citizen Ken Taylor spoke regarding the Commission accepting additional testimony and lack of compliance with LAFCO's CEQA guidelines.

4. 99-20 - Incorporation of the City of Goleta

The Executive Officer advised that Richard Berkson of Economic and Planning Systems and Steve Svete of Rincon Consultants, Inc were present to respond to questions.

The Executive Officer presented a supplemental staff report consisting of responses to comments and questions received at the April 26 hearing.

Jim McClure, representing the County of Santa Barbara, provided information in response to written comments provided by Jack Hawxhurst.

Testimony was provided by

- Edward Collins representing the UCSB Graduate Student Union,
- Jack Easterbrook representing Santa Barbara Industrial Association,
- Bill Gilbert representing We Want Better Government,
- Robert Gibson representing the Isla Vista Association,
- Mark Lloyd and Mark Manion, Esq. representing Westfield LLC,
- Diane Meester representing the County of Santa Barbara.

And interested citizens Dean Brunner, Karen Lovelace, Cathy Gebhardt, Henry Sarria, Ken Taylor, Harold Wilson, Harry Nelson, Arian Katovich, Diane Conn and Judith Evered.

Received were letters or materials from Mark S. Manion, Esq. (5-3-01), UCSB Assistant Chancellor Robert W. Kuntz (5-3-01), undated map of Westfield LLC property, material titled Goleta Incorporation & Tidelands from Harry Nelson (5-3-01).

The Chair closed the public testimony portion of the hearing and the Commission recessed from 4:05 to 4:20 PM.

Members of the Commission provided their view regarding local governance issues and incorporation boundaries.

Upon motion by Umenhofer, second by Rose, the Commission adopted the staff report and approved Incorporation Option 1 with a change to include the Westfield Property (Area E), with Commissioner Fox opposed.

On motion by DeWees, second by Orach, the Commission revised the project description for the Negative Declaration to reflect the selected project boundaries and added new paragraph No. 38 to the resolution accepting the findings and recommendations in the Executive Officer's report and fiscal analysis, with findings to reject the recommendation to exclude the Westfield property (Area E.).

4. The Executive Officer announced the Commission would not meet on May 10.
5. The meeting was adjourned at 5:30 PM

Next LAFCO meeting – June 7 in Santa Maria

Final Minutes Approved by the Commission

On _____

By _____