

CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
A. Purpose of the Program.....	1
B. Program Scope of Work.....	1
C. Benthic Macroinvertebrates as Indicators of Stream Health.....	2
II. STUDY REACHES	3
III. METHODS.....	23
A. Field Surveys.....	23
B. Laboratory Work.....	24
C. Calculation of Physical Parameters.....	25
D. Calculation of Biological Metrics	25
E. Data Analysis	27
IV. RESULTS.....	29
A. Comparison of Relatively Undisturbed Reaches and Disturbed Reaches, and Summary of Data Collected	29
B. Analysis of Relationships Between Biological Parameters (Response Variables)and Physical Parameters (Independent Variables)	42
C. Comparisons of Individual Creek Reaches (2001 Data).....	51
D. Comparisons of Selected Parameters Between 2000 and 2001	53
V. DISCUSSION	57
VI. RECOMMENDATIONS	63
VII. REFERENCES.....	67

Appendix Biological Data

TABLES

	Page
Table 1 Study Reaches	3
Table 2 T-test Results for Comparisons Between Relatively Undisturbed Reaches and Disturbed Reaches, 2000 and 2001 Data Sets	30
Table 3 Summary of Regression Test Results, 2000 Data.....	43
Table 4 Summary of Regression Test Results, 2001 Data.....	45
Table 5 Summary of Regression Test Results, Relatively Undisturbed Reaches Only, 2000 Data	48
Table 6 Summary of Regression Test Results, Relatively Undisturbed Reaches Only, 2001 Data	49
Table 7 Summary of Reach-Level T-test and ANOVA Results	52
Table 8 T-test Results for Comparisons Between 2000 and 2001 Data Sets.....	54

FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1	Program Study Area 5
Figure 2	Gaviota Area Study Reaches 7
Figure 3	Santa Barbara/Goleta Area Study Reaches 9
Figure 4	Carpinteria Area Study Reaches 11
Figure 5	Study Reach Photographs..... 13
Figure 6	Study Reach Photographs..... 15
Figure 7	Study Reach Photographs..... 17
Figure 8	Study Reach Photographs..... 19
Figure 9	Study Reach Photographs..... 21
Figure 10	Comparison of Q Between Undisturbed Reaches and Disturbed Reaches, 2001 Data 31
Figure 11	Comparison of Habitat Assessment Scores, Undisturbed Reaches and Disturbed Reaches, 2001 Data 33
Figure 12	Comparison of Conductivity, Undisturbed Reaches vs. Disturbed Reaches, 2001 Data 34
Figure 13	Comparison of BMI Density, Undisturbed Reaches vs. Disturbed Reaches, 2001 Data 38
Figure 14	Comparison of Number of Aquatic Insect Families, Undisturbed Reaches vs. Disturbed Reaches, 2001 Data 39
Figure 15	Comparison of IBI, Undisturbed Reaches vs. Disturbed Reaches, 2001 Data .. 40
Figure 16	X^2 Regression of BMI Density vs. % Watershed Developed, 2001 Data..... 42
Figure 17	Regression (X^2 transformation) of # Aquatic Insect Families vs. Habitat Assessment Score, 2001 Data 46
Figure 18	Log (x) Regression, # Aquatic Vertebrate Species vs. Conductivity, 2001 Data 47
Figure 19	Log (x) Regression, Nitrite Concentration vs. IBI, 2000 Data 48
Figure 20	Linear Regression, % Watershed as Open Space vs. % Tolerant BMI Taxa, 2001 Data 50
Figure 21	ANOVA Comparison of # Aquatic Insect Genera, Carpinteria Creek Study Reaches, 2001 Data 51
Figure 22	T-test Comparison of IBI, Atascadero Creek Study Reaches, 2001 Data..... 53
Figure 23	T-test Comparison of Q at all Study Reaches, 2000 vs. 2001..... 53
Figure 24	T-test Comparison of BMI Density at all Study Reaches, 2000 vs. 2001..... 55
Figure 25	T-test Comparison of % Sensitive BMI Taxa at all Study Reaches, 2000 vs. 2001 55